UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Texas

Part	Part I - Eligibility for Detention		
ORDER OF I	DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
Defendant)		
Charles David Burrow)		
V.) Case No. 3:20-cr-451-N		
V)		
United States of America)		
1,	orthern District of Texas		

Upon the

Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or

Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
\square (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☑ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendan committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;	S
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	ρf
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☑C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	g,
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history 	
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	
 ∑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ∑ Prior criminal history 	
 ∑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ∑ Prior criminal history ∑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision 	
 Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons 	
 Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ☑ History of violence or use of weapons ☑ History of alcohol or substance abuse 	
 Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment 	

☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States
Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

To rebut the presumption that detention is warranted, the defendant relied on the contents of the pretrial services report that indicated he is a lifelong resident of Red Oak, Texas. Both his parents, a sister, his adult son, and his minor daughter live in Red Oak or in the North Texas area. If released, he could live with his mother, who offered to serve as a third-party custodian. He also could return to his job as a self-employed electrical sub-contractor. Notwithstanding this evidence, the Court finds that there is no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required or the safety of any other person or the community. To the extent it has been rebutted, the presumption does not entirely disappear. And the defendant is a convicted felon and an admitted drug dealer who could be sentenced to a lengthy prison term if he is convicted in this case. He has admitted to being a frequent methamphetamine user. In August 2020, he was indicted on state drug charges and released on bond. At the time of his arrest, he was in possession of distribution quantities of methamphetamine and a search warrant executed as part of the state investigation resulted in the seizure of more than 370 grams of methamphetamine, 55 grams of GHB, and a loaded firearm discovered with the drugs. In November 2020, while he was on bond on the state charges, he physically assaulted his ex-wife, injuring her face, and threatened to kill her if she called police. He also continued trafficking in controlled substances while he was on state bond as he was in possession of distribution quantities of methamphetamine and other controlled substances when he was arrested in November on federal drug charges.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	12/07/2020	XXXX
		 United States Magistrate Judge
		V